BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

34104324

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	individual; KARINA "DOE", an individual;
1	DOE CEO, I through X; DOE SALES
1	REPRESENTATIVE, I through X; DOE
1	MANAGER, I through X; DOE DRIVER, I
1	through X; DOE EMPLOYEE, I through X;
1	DOES I through X; ROE CORPORATIONS
1	XI through XX; and ROE ENTITIES, XI
1	through XX, inclusive,
- 1	

## Defendants.

Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC and Berchmann Melancon (hereinafter "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record of the law firm Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP, and the law firm Jenner & Block LLP; and Plaintiffs Babystacks Café, LLC, Rebel Kitchen Inc. dba Manizza's Pizza, Timeless Cuisine, LLC dba Esther's Kitchen, Gaetano's Hospitality Group, LLC dba Gaetano's Ristorante, and Munch-A-Sub LLC (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), by and through their counsel of record of the law firm Bighorn Law, hereby stipulate, contingent on this Court's approval, as follows:

- On June 20, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint. ECF 40. 1.
- 2. The current date for Defendants to respond to the Second Amended Complaint is July 7, 2025.
- 2. Pursuant to LR IA 6-1(a), the Parties stipulate and agree that Defendants shall have an additional 14 days, up to and including July 21, 2025, to respond to the Second Amended Complaint.
- 3. There is a strong public policy in the Ninth Circuit of deciding cases on the merits, rather than technicalities. See U.S. v. Signed Personal Check No. 730 of Yubran S. Mesle, 615 F.3d 1085, 1091 (9th Cir. 2010).

23

24

25

26

27 28

1	
2	Ame
3	
4	DA'
5	Big
6	ъ
7	By: KIM
8	EM: 367.
9	Nor Ema
10	
11	Atto
12	
13	
14	IT IS
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	4. This is the Parties' first	request for an extension of time to respond to the Second
2	Amended Complaint.	
3		
4	DATED this 30 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025.	DATED this 30 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025.
5	BIGHORN LAW	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
6		
7	By: <u>/s/ Kimball Jones</u> KIMBALL JONES, ESQ.	By: <u>/s/ Maximilien D. Fetaz</u> KIRK B. LENHARD, ESQ.
	EMILY GRABLE, ESQ.	MAXIMILIEN D. FETAZ, ESQ.
8	3675 W. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 100	CHELSEE C. JENSEN, ESQ.
9	North Las Vegas, NV 89032	
	Email: <u>kimball@bighornlaw.com</u>	JENNER & BLOCK LLP
10	Attour my for Dlaintiffa	KATE SPELMAN, ESQ. (pro hac vice) RÉMI JAFFRÉ, ESQ. (pro hac vice)
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	REMI JAFFRE, ESQ. (pro nac vice)
12		Attorneys for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc.; Rasier, LLC; and Berchmann Melancon
13		The., Taster, Elec, and Bereimann Wetaneon
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	////
15		United States Distric Court Judge /
16		Unite's States Magistrate Judge
17		Dated: 7-1-25 Case No. 2:24-cv-01098-F.FB-MDC
18		Case No. 2.24-CV-01098-INFD-IVIDC
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		